

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/733,780	Applicant(s) LISS ET AL.
	Examiner LI B. ZHEN	Art Unit 2194

All Participants:**Status of Application:** _____(1) Neil F. Maloney (Reg. No. 42,833).

(3) _____.

(2) LI B. ZHEN.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 October 2009**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 101

Claims discussed:

1 and 9

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Li B. Zhen/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2194

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Method claims 1 and 9 recite method claims that are not tied to a particular machine or apparatus. Examiner suggested amending the claims to recite "determining, by a processor,..." in claim 1 and "monitoring, by a processor,..." in claim 9. Mr. Maloney authorized the amendment to the claims in an examiner's amendment.